



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,254	01/04/2002	Thierry Valet	60559-301701	9462
7590	04/10/2006		EXAMINER	
BRIAN R. COLEMAN PATENT ATTORNEY PERKINS COIE LLP P.O. BOX 2168 MENLO PARK,, CA 94026-2168			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/040,254	VALET, THIERRY	
	Examiner Jeff Piziali	Art Unit 2629	
<b>-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --</b>			
<p>The amendment document filed on <u>07 February 2006</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.</p> <p><b>THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> 1. Amendments to the specification:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> C. Other _____.</li> </ul> </li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> 2. Abstract:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> B. Other _____.</li> </ul> </li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> 3. Amendments to the drawings:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> C. Other _____.</li> </ul> </li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. Amendments to the claims:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> </ul> </li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):</li> </ul>			
<p>For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.</p> <p><b>TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant is given <b>no new time period</b> if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the <b>entire corrected amendment</b> must be resubmitted.</li> <li>2. Applicant is given <b>one month</b>, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the <b>corrected section</b> of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.</li> </ol> <p><b>Extensions of time</b> are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) <b>only</b> if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.</p> <p><b>Failure to timely respond</b> to this notice will result in:</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;"><b>Abandonment</b> of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;"><b>Non-entry</b> of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.</p>			
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		Telephone No.	Part of Paper No. 04052006

Continuation of 4(e) Other:

Firstly, the applicant is cordially thanked for the 'Response' (submitted 7 February 2006) to the 'Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' (mailed 23 January 2006). However, the applicant's 'Response' is again deemed non-compliant at least for the following reasons:

The applicant's 'Response' (submitted 7 February 2006) provides an 'Amendment to the Claims' section which improperly incorporates claim language changes previously proposed in the non-compliant 'Amendment' filed 9 November 2005.

For instance, independent claim 1 was provided with the 'Currently Amended' status identifier in the non-compliant 'Amendment' filed 9 November 2005, and sought to add the marked-up text of "a non-perpendicular" to line 5 of the claim (see page 2 of the 'Amendment'). In contrast, the new copy of claim 1 included with the applicant's 'Response' submitted 7 February 2006 is provided with the (incorrect) 'Previously Presented' status identifier and (improperly) includes the non-marked-up text of "a non-perpendicular" in line 5 of the claim (see page 2 of the 'Response').

On page 6 of the 'Response' (submitted 7 February 2006), it is stated, "Applicant is required to submit only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment." This statement is correct. However, as the amendment filed 9 November 2005 has been deemed non-compliant, the 'Amendments to the Claims' section provided at that time was never officially entered. The applicant is respectfully encouraged to submit a brand new copy of the entire 'Amendments to the Claims' section properly reflecting the changes (via appropriate marked-up text and accurate status identifiers) proposed by both the 'Response' (filed 7 February 2006) and the 'Amendment' (filed 9 November 2005).

By such reasoning, this Notice of Non-Compliance is deemed necessary and proper at this time.

Please note: The claims have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible non-compliance errors. If additional issues of non-compliance are discovered in a subsequent amendment, yet another Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment will be necessitated. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any other errors of which applicant may become aware in the claims.

The applicant is hereby notified that the examiner's art unit has recently changed from Art Unit 2673 to Art Unit 2629, please direct all future correspondence accordingly. Thank you.

  
J.P.  
5 April 2006



BIPIN SHALWALA  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER